

The Hot Circumgalactic Medium in the eROSITA All-Sky Survey



yizhang@mpe.mpg.de Max Planck Institute for extraterrestrial Physics (MPE), Germany Coauthors: Johan Comparat, Gabriele Ponti, Andrea Merloni, Kirpal Nandra, Frank Haberl, Nicola Locatelli, Xiaoyuan Zhang, Jeremy Sanders, Xueying Zheng, Ang Liu, Paola Popesso, Teng Liu, Nhut Truong, Annalisa Pillepich, Peter Predehl, Mara Salvato, Soumya Shreeram, Marcus Bruggen, Michael C. H. Yeung and Qingling Ni 17/09/2024

Yi Zhang

Based on arXiv:2401.17308, arXiv:2401.17309, and work soon submitted



Hot CGM and galaxy evolution

- Hot circumgalactic medium (CGM)
 - Gravitational heat of accreted gas (~M_{halo})
 - AGN or stellar feedbacks (~SFR, M*)



Faucher-Giguere & Oh. 2023





Questions:

- How bright and extended is the CGM around M_{star}=10¹¹ galaxies?
- How hot CGM relate to galaxy properties (Mhalo, M*, SFR)?
- Constraint on the galaxy evolution models?





eROSITA and galaxy surveys

4 rounds of all-sky (eRASS:4), median t_{exp} =550s.



Merloni et al. 2024

Yi Zhang yizhang@mpe.mpg.de

Stack galaxies to increase statistics

- Take galaxy catalog from surveys at other bands (optical, IR)
- Select interested galaxy sample (redshift, Mstar, SFR)
- Stack X-ray emission around galaxies



Merloni et al. 2012



Galaxy sample

- SDSS DR7-main galaxy sample (r<17.77)
- Build approximately volume-limited galaxy samples
- Self-calibrated halo-based group finder -> central/satellite galaxy (Tinker et al. 2021)
- Define star-forming/quiescent galaxies by 4000A break







Result: extended X-ray emission from hot CGM



 Extended X-ray emission to virial radius (>200kpc) around MW-mass and more massive galaxies.





- Model and subtract the X-ray emission from: misclassified central galaxies, unresolved AGN and XRB
- Residual is the hot CGM emission extending to Rvir.



Q1: Emission beyond 100kpc?

Result: Baryon fraction



To derive the density from the flux, we assume the CGM has

- Virial or half virial temperature,
- 0.1, 0.3, 1.0 solar abundance,

Sign of flattening/increasing of baryon fraction with M_{star} decreasing?



Result: LCGM-Mstar scaling relation



- Our X-ray luminosity of hot CGM within R_{500c}.
- Results from Anderson et al. 2015

Improvement of S/N at lower-mass galaxies.





Result: LCGM-Mstar scaling relation



Yi Zhang yizhang@mpe.mpg.de

- Our X-ray luminosity of hot CGM within R_{500c}.
- Results from Anderson et al. 2015
- Improvement of S/N at lower-mass galaxies.

- Comparison to the EAGLE, TNG100, and SIMBA simulations.
 - log(M_{star})>11.0, agree well
 - log(M_{star})<11.0, simulations deviate from observation.



Result: LCGM-Mhalo scalng relation



- Our X-ray luminosity of hot CGM within R_{500c}.
- Previous observations of galaxy clusters and groups.
- A single power law with an index \approx 1.3 can describe the L_{CGM}-M_{halo} relation at log(M₅₀₀)<13.5



Result: Hot CGM around SF/QU



L_{CGM}-M_{star} scaling relations of star-forming and quiescent galaxies

- $log(M_{star}) < 11.0, SF \approx QU$
- log(M_{star})>11.0, SF < QU

Result: Hot CGM around SF/QU

Star-forming galaxies locate in lower-mass dark matter halo than quiescent galaxies.

Summary

We answered: • How bright and extended is the CGM around MW-mass galaxies.

- How hot CGM relate to galaxy properties (M_{halo}, M_{*}, SF/QU).
- Constraints on the galaxy evolution models? To explore:
- Surface brightness profiles

Scaling relations

• SF/QU comparison