



Measuring the Cosmic Star Formation Rate with Fermi-LAT

Justin D. Finke (NRL) Marco Ajello, Abhishek Desai, Vaidehi Paliya (Clemson U), **Alberto Dominguez** (Universidad Complutense de **Madrid**) for the Fermi-LAT Collaboration and Kari Helgason (Max Planck **Institute for Astrophysics**)



Extragalactic Background Light



Background light from all the stars that have existed in the observable universe.







Background light from all the stars that have existed in the observable universe.

Two broad components: Direct Stellar emission, and stellar emission absorbed by dust and re-radiated in the infrared.









Background light from all the stars that have existed in the observable universe.

Two broad components: Direct Stellar emission, and stellar emission absorbed by dust and re-radiated in the infrared.

LAT γ-rays absorb mainly direct stellar emission.

UV emission mainly produced by short-lived, high-mass stars







Internal yy absorption





With more data, no evidence for absorption at < 10 GeV. Small ($\tau_{\gamma\gamma} \sim 1$) absorption at ~ 10 GeV (Stern & Poutanen 2014)



Our analysis: stacked residuals (adjusted for redshift) of best fit model for sources from Poutanen & Stern (2010). No evidence for absorption.

Similar conclusions by Costamante et al. (2017, in preparation).





Gamma-ray Space Telescope



150 BL Lac Objects

46 months (almost 4 years) of LAT data

Three redshift bins

"Pass 7" instrument response function

Ackermann et al. (2012)

Measuring EBL Absorption

Sermi

Gamma-ray Space Telescope



9







414 FSRQs327 BL Lac Objects741 total sources

101 months (~8.5 years) of LAT data

Twelve redshift bins

"Pass 8" instrument response function

























Do Markov Chain Monte Carlo fit to gamma-ray opacity data.

Use emcee routine (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013).

Allow star formation rate density parameters (a, b, c, d) to vary:

$$\psi(z) = h \frac{a+bz}{1+(z/c)^d}$$

all other parameters kept constant.

Similar method to Gong & Cooray (2013).













We've used model fits to these results to make an independent measurement of the cosmic SFRD.

Allows us to constrain high-z SFRD more than previous γ -ray measurements (Gilmore 2012, Inoue et al. 2014).

Our results consistent with stars alone being able to reionize the universe (e.g. Madau et al. 1999, Kistler et al. 2009)

See also: talk by Kari Helgason today at 14:30 on luminosity density measurements

To do:

Different SFR parameterizations (e.g., Madau & Dickenson 2014)

Allow dust model to vary, with different parameterizations (Driver et al. 2008 dust model used so far)









JF, Razzaque, & Dermer (2010). Razzaque, Dermer, & JF (2009).



Derm

Gamma-ray Space Telescope



To study the EBL with γ -rays ($\tau_{\gamma\gamma}$), we need to know F_{int} . How can we determine the intrinsic γ -ray flux?











←Variability Not a problem !







Internal yy absorption





With more data, no evidence for absorption at < 10 GeV. Small ($\tau_{\gamma\gamma} \sim 1$) absorption at ~ 10 GeV (Stern & Poutanen 2014)



Our analysis: stacked residuals (adjusted for redshift) of best fit model for sources from Poutanen & Stern (2010). No evidence for absorption.

Similar conclusions by Costamante et al. (2017, in preparation).



Cosmic Gamma-ray Horizon

