

University

# Updated Fermi-LAT Constraints on the EBL

#### Thomas Armstrong Jamie Graham

**Abstract:** The extragalactic background light (EBL) is a fundamental cosmological observable of our universe, allowing insight into the history of star formation within our universe. Extending between 0.1 - 1000  $\mu$ m it is the UV to near-IR that is of interest in high and very high energy astronomy, where EBL photons interact via pair production to leave a visible imprint in the spectra of distant AGN. Multiple studies have been carried out using ground-based Cherenkov telescopes, which can observe the spectra of relatively nearby AGN to provide limits on the density of the EBL. These however do not reveal a great amount of detail concerning the evolution of the EBL with time, and therefore the star formation rate. The Fermi-LAT instrument, with its long exposure of the extragalactic sky, holds an extensive sample of AGN extending out to large redshifts (z < 2.56) and has been used by the Fermi-LAT collaboration to study the EBL. Here we further that study by combining a sample of 259 AGN, carefully modelling their spectral energy distributions and determine a redshift-dependent EBL correction factors to a range of models, taking into account the temporal and spectral variability of sources.

#### Overview

- Brief EBL intro
- EBL models
- Fermi-LAT as a probe for cosmology
- Simple idea of Method basically what was done in previous paper
- Updates to method
  - Larger source selection
  - Full Fit
  - Temporal filtering
  - Spectral filtering
- Preliminary Results
- Future work/improvements.

#### EBL



- EBL Background light between 0.1-1000  $\mu$ m
- Important cosmological observable, contains information on the galaxy and star formation history of the universe.
- Measurements: Direct difficult due to foreground emission, galaxy counts give lower limit

#### **EBL** Models

- EBL intensity estimated by integrating the total emission over redshift.
- Forward Evolution (FE) Spectral properties of galaxies and stars obtained by evolving them from cosmological initial conditions
  - Fink et. al. 2010, Kneiske & Dole 2010
- Backwards Evolution (BE) Spectral properties of galaxies and stars obtained by backward extrapolation of the local galaxy population.
  - Dominguez et. al. 2011, Franceschini et. al. 2008 (2017)
- Semi-Analytical Models (SAM) use of SAM to include formation of galaxies and their interactions (~FE)
  - Gilmore et. al. 2012, Inoue 2013



#### EBL + Gamma-rays

- Level of EBL intensity important for gamma-ray observations.
- Beam of high energy photons can be strongly attenuated by  $e^+e^-$  pair production through collision with low-energy photons.
- Therefore, for distant sources, the universe starts to become opaque to gamma-rays. This opacity is given by the optical depth

 $\tau(E_{\gamma},z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^z dz \frac{dl}{dz} \int_{-1}^1 du \ (1-u) \int_{E_{min}}^\infty dE_{bg} n(E_{bg},z) \sigma(E_{\gamma},E_{bg},\theta)$ 

 => Cosmological Line element, angular distribution and cross section for pair production, and the number density of EBL photons



#### EBL + AGN Spectra



$$F_{obs}(E) = F_{int}(E) \cdot e^{-\tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E,z)}$$

- The cut-off for gamma-rays exits for all redshifts  $\approx$  10 GeV
- The Fermi-LAT telescope is therefore well positioned to measure this feature with its energy range MeV
  -> ~TeV, which contains within itself both the intrinsic and absorbed spectrum
- While we cannot know the "intrinsic spectrum" in the absorbed energy range, the extrapolation from the unabsorbed is a good approximation.

#### EBL + Gamma-ray Observations



- A large source of limits on the EBL intensity from gamma-rays have been provided by ground based IACTs which probe the ~TeV energy range along with earlier work by Fermi LAT collaboration
- $F_{obs}(E) = F_{int}(E) \cdot e^{-a_{EBL} \cdot \tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E,z)}$
- By fitting AGN spectra and scanning over the  $a_{EBL}$  a likelihood profile can be obtained, and a correction factor derived.
- Correction factor used to look at the local EBL intensity along with evolution over redshift.

### Initial Method

- Work performed in initial study (Armstrong17)
- Based on methods performed in HESS/Fermi.
- Fit the intrinsic spectrum unaffected by EBL absorption (<~10 GeV )</li>
- Fit full data sets for each EBL model scanning over EBL correction factor, find maximum likelihood value.
- Drawbacks:
  - Did not take into account variability
  - Intrinsic spectrum fixed in EBL fitting step
  - Did not evaluate systematics



# Source/Data Selection

- Used 8 years of Fermi-LAT data between 100 MeV 500 GeV
- Selected all sources from the 3FGL with |b|>10 degrees, significance >20  $\sigma$ , and with corresponding redshift data (extracted from simbad) = 259 sources
- Binned likelihood analysis
  - (v10r0p5)
  - (Data\_qual>0) && (Lat\_config==1)
  - 90° zenith cut
  - SOURCE (Front and back)
  - P8R2\_SOURCE\_V6 IRFs
  - ROI 10° x 10°
  - 10 bins per decade
  - including 3FGL sources within 20°
- Obtained global fit



30

-30

 $-60^{\circ}$ 

 $-75^{\circ}$ 

 $15^{\circ}$ 

 $0^{\circ}$ 

 $-15^{\circ}$ 

# EBL Fitting

- EBL model is optimised using likelihood profiles extracted for each spectral energy bin from the Fermi tools
- Fit first the intrinsic spectrum (log par parameters) then fits again with all free including EBL correction factor
- Statistical significance is given by Wilks' Theorem.

 $-\alpha - \beta \log\left(\frac{E}{E_b}\right)$ 

Log Parabola Fit

 $\Phi = N\left(\frac{E}{E_{\star}}\right)$ 

Initial values for EBL fit



### Technique Verification

• Compare the values calculated by this method to that found using the Fermi tools (Using sources that are log parabola in the 3FGL)



• Within 2% in raw parameter values, smaller in  $\Delta \log L$ . Some small bias.

#### Technique Verification

• Performed cross check, simulating sources using gtobssim. Used the same method to reconstruct the input parameters.



## Temporal Variability

- In previous studies of the EBL by Fermi, a cut on the sources variability was included ( $TS_{var} < 72.44$ ).
- We include the same cut, and additionally compute the lightcurves for each source. Re-evaluating  $TS_{var}$  for the larger data
- Distributed as  $\chi^2$  with 96 degrees of freedom



$$TS_{var} = 2\sum_{i} [\log L(F_i) - \log L(F_{const})]$$

means and have builded burger manual burger Lander MANN WARN Manual Manual Lines Warner Lines Warder Station and Lines Warner Lines Lines Lines Lines Lines Lines with mount of the second from the second free with the with the with the with the second the second the second with the second the s Will were sound with the state were sound with the second sound with the sound were sound to so and the second where many where where where we were the were where where where where where the were where were within the work with the state of the state Manual with Markow States and when when and a state when and a state when and a state when and a state when a state when a state of the Sille Manual asked when which when the walk when the same and a second when the same walk and the same second manter access the second states where the second second states where a second states where the second where the William William Carrier and Marine Marine Carrier Carrier Marine Marine Carrier Marine Carrier Carrier Marine Carrier MANNA LILLI Comes and have and have and have a second with the second and the sec Asis many and and and have and the same limit hill will be wil handler warden selen liter and handler and handler and handler handler handler handler handler handler handler

## Spectral Variability

- One reason for the cut on temporal variability is to attempt to remove sources that will have periods of differing flux, and therefore potentially spectral characteristics that are convolved in the full analyses.
- We aim to study this further by defining the parameter  $TS_{spec var}$

$$TS_{spec var} = 2\sum_{i} \left[\log L(\Gamma_i) - \log L(\Gamma_{const})\right]$$

• Monte Carlo validation of statistic is ongoing

# Preliminary Results

- $\sigma_{var}$  and  $\sigma_{specvar} < 8$ 
  - Needs evaluating
- $\alpha_{DOM} = 0.916^{+0.257}_{-0.212}$
- $\alpha_{FRA} = 0.966^{+0.242}_{-0.239}$
- $\alpha_{K\&D} = 0.865^{+0.180}_{-0.195}$
- $\alpha_{FIN} = 0.713^{+0.196}_{-0.162}$
- $\alpha_{GIL} = 0.612^{+0.178}_{-0.114}$
- $\alpha_{INO} = 0.713^{+0.151}_{-0.151}$
- Still need to look at systematics: Redshifts Analysis technique (validation) Variability



# Preliminary Results

- No cut applied on  $TS_{var}$  or  $\sigma_{specvar}$
- Seems to be strong dependence on Variability
- Next step is to look at non variable periods for each source (combined)



#### Summary + Next Steps

- Have derived EBL correction factors for range of models
- Calculated the temporal and spectral variability
- Results only slightly better than previous Fermi-LAT results
- Confirm reference distribution for  $\Delta TS_{spec var}$  with MC
- Calculate redshifts from EBL (identify bad redshifts?)
- Bin the data in non-variable time periods as identified by  $TS_{var}$

Still lots to do!

### Backup

#### Non Variable Sources Over Time

